

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,320	09/08/2003	Nicholas James Nissing	8652C	1187
27752	7590 08:04/2004		EXAMINER	
	TER & GAMBLE CO	NORDMEYER. PATRICIA L		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1772	
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224		DATE 144 H CD 00/04/000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/657,320	NISSING, NICHOLAS JAMES		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply six specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	<u>June 2004</u> .			
	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		e Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certif	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicatority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not recei	vea.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawn Rejections

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 1 is withdrawn due to the Applicant's argument and explanation of the indefinite terms in the claim in paper dated June 10, 2004.

Repeated Rejections

2. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of 1 – 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 15 as anticipated by Mowry, Jr. et al. (USPN 5,853,197) is repeated.

Mowry, Jr. et al. disclose a printed substrate used as a security document having first and second outer surfaces, wherein the first surface includes indicia (Column 3, lines 49 - 52 and Figure 1). The printed indicia are composed of print elements such as dots and lines (Column 5, lines 46 - 49). As seen in Figures 1 and 2, , the substrate includes a substrate color density (#40), a background color density, a density caused by the rough edges of the printed dot, (Column 5, lines 49 - 54) and a print element color density (#58 or #26), where the background color density is greater than the substrate and less than the printed element color density (Column 6, lines 9 - 29). Due to the variation of the coverage of the printed matter of the background (Column 6, lines 9 - 29) the background has a ΔE of at least 10. The printed substrate is used for a variety of documents including checks, stock certificates and birth certificates (Column 1, lines 12 - 17) that are made from cellulosic material, which absorb liquids, thereby making the documents absorbent disposable paper products. Ink is provide in a

Art Unit: 1772

variety of ways to the surface of the substrate (Figures 1 and 2) and in a variety of densities (Column 6, lines 23 - 25) which would allow for two solid print regions having a ratio of at least 1.15 (Figure 2, #60), a dot area ratio of at least 1.10 and a rub off ratio greater than 1.1. The documents are made using a process print as shown by the steps in Column 8, lines 1 - 38.

3. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of 1-3, 8 and 10-14 as anticipated by Brugada (USPN 5,904,375) is repeated.

Brugada discloses a printed substrate used as a security document having first and second outer surfaces, wherein the first surface includes imprinted backgrounds of micropattern of text or drawings with inks that include pigments (Column 2, lines 27 - 32). The micropattern is composed of print elements such as dots and lines (Column 2, lines 35 - 40). As seen in Figure 1, the substrate includes a substrate color density (#1) a background color density (#7) and a print element color density (#10), where the background color density is greater than the substrate and less than the printed element color density (Figure 1). Due to the distance between the dots of the background density (Column 2, lines 45 - 59), the background has a ΔE of at least 10. The printed substrate is a paper material (Column 1, line 9 and Column 4, lines 21 - 22), which is made from cellulosic material that absorbs liquid, thereby making the documents absorbent disposable paper products. As shown by Figure 1, the ink is comprised of two print regions that may have the same color and color density. Depending on the type of the ink used, hydrophilous versus non-absorbent (Column 4, lines 29 - 38), the ink may have a rub off ratio

Art Unit: 1772

greater than 1.1. As shown by the method of making the document in Column 6, lines 8-44, the indicia comprise a process print.

4. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of 4, 7 and 9 over Mowry, Jr. et al. in view of Harris (USPN 5,871,615) is repeated.

Mowry, Jr. et al. discloses the claimed printed substrate used as a security document made with cellulosic material with different color densities for the substrate, background and print element color density except for the substrate being textured.

Harris teaches a security paper (Column 1, line 5) made from cellulosic material (Column 4, lines 34 - 35) that has been formed with a tactile (textured) surface profile during the manufacturing process (Column 2, lines 21 - 24) with a variety of inks that may be applied to the surface (Column 4, lines 3 - 4) for the purpose of forming a pattern on the paper that has excellent durability and a high degree of security due to the patterns intricacy that facilitates verification or authentication of documents printed on the paper (Column 2, lines 13 - 24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the textured paper with intricate surface patterns in Mowry, Jr. et al. in order to form forming a pattern on the paper that has excellent durability and a high degree of security due to the patterns intricacy that facilitates verification or authentication of documents printed on the paper as taught by Harris.

Art Unit: 1772

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-5, filed June 10, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 8 and 10 - 15 under Mowry, Jr. et al. and Brugada have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of both Mowry, Jr. et al and Brugada with corrections made pointing to the correct areas of the security sheet and referring to a new area of rejection based upon clarification after the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection.

Both Mowry, jr. et al. and Brugada disclose the background color density as shown by the rough edges of the printing dots in Mowry and the presence of the letters underneath the printing of Brugada.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pen pln SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER